

APPLICATION NO.

10/700,494

**SUITE 105** 

23338

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

FILING DATE

11/05/2003

7590

1727 KING STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD

12/27/2006

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

03187 6272

EXAMINER

WALFORD, NATALIE K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2879

MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE

**PAPER** 

12/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Hiroto Isoda

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
ISODA, HIROTO	
Art Unit	
2879	
	ISODA, HIROTO  Art Unit

	Natalie K. Walford	2879		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b)</li> </ol>	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu of the final rejection.	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl ust be filed within one	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following	
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since	
<ul> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further con</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 4.   The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).  The purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co : lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments require further consideration and/or search, specifically the new limitation of the insulating members being arranged in a direction perpendicular to the optical axis of the light emitting device.

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXALESTS

TECHNOLOGY CENTRAL

13/2/00 Mm)